

UNITED STATE'S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE 02/13/98 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

UHMI

980150

QM01/1001

ARMSTRONG WESTERMAN HATTORI MCLELAND & NAUGHTON **SUITE 1000** 1725 K STREET N W WASHINGTON DC 20006

EXAMINER

FOX,J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

8-8

3753

DATE MAILED:

10/01/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No. Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	Examider Group Art Unit
	Avx 3753
-The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Prid fr Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SETOFF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defe	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	,
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	2E/01
☐ This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disp sition of Claims	
5 Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	4-6 is/are withdrawn from consideration
(C) (C) (C)	
☐ Claim(s)	
Claim(s) 1-2	
	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s) 1-2	is/are rejected.
	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved □ disapproved.
Claim(s)	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved □ disapproved.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drave The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved □ disapproved. jected to by the Examiner.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drave The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved □ disapproved. jected to by the Examiner.
Claim(s)	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ijected to by the Examiner.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drave The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dravent The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob the specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received.	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner. r. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dravent The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ijected to by the Examiner. r. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dravent The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ijected to by the Examiner. r. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dravent The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ijected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dravent The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obtoxis/ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obtoxis/ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority all Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ijected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. 2

Serial Number: 09/023416 -2-

Art Unit: 3753

This action is responsive to the communication filed May 22, 2001.

Claims 3-6 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species. Election of Species A, claims 1-2, was made without traverse in Paper No. 27.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Itafuji (5,819,782) in view of Markulec et al (5,836,355), both of record. Itafuji shows a gas supply system including on/off valves 4 and 6 and MFC 5. The ports of the valves are in a row, see Figures 3 and 8, and the mounting blocks have ports which are coplanar. It is not clear if the valves all have the same pattern. Markulec et al shows a similar system and

Serial Number: 09/023416 -3-

Art Unit: 3753

teaches that all of the bases have a standardized face and all of the components, including the valves, have a standardized face such that any component may be mounted to any block, allowing for rapid configuration of a system. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have used such a standardized mounting scheme in the system of Itafuji to similarly allow for a rapid configuration of a system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Fox at (703) 308-2595 or John.Fox@uspto.gov. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861. The fax number for Art Unit 3753 is (703) 308-7765. The Supervisory Primary Examiner for Art Unit 3753 is Michael Buiz who can be reached at (703) 308-2580 or at Michael.Buiz@uspto.gov.

JONN TOX PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3753

jcf September 28, 2001